APPEAL NO. 041217 FILED JULY 13, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on April 21, 2004. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by deciding that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury on _______, and because he did not sustain a compensable injury, he could not have disability. The claimant appealed, essentially on sufficiency of the evidence grounds. The claimant alleges on appeal that the interpreter did not properly convey his emotion and sentiment and that the ombudsman was not given enough time for initial presentation and closing argument. The respondent (carrier) responded, urging affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

The claimant had the burden to prove that he sustained a compensable injury as defined by Section 401.011(10) and that he had disability as defined by Section 401.011(16). Conflicting evidence was presented at the CCH on the issue of whether the claimant sustained a compensable injury. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of fact, the hearing officer resolves the conflicts in the evidence and determines what facts have been established. The hearing officer noted that the claimant's testimony was contradicted by most of the rest of the evidence. Although there is conflicting evidence in this case, we conclude that the hearing officer's determination that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury is supported by sufficient evidence and is not so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986). We perceive no error in the hearing officer's decision based on a communication problem or language barrier. Without a compensable injury, the claimant would not have disability as defined by Section 401.011(16).

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS 350 NORTH ST. PAUL, SUITE 2900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

CONCUR:	Margaret L. Turner Appeals Judge
Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge	
Veronica L. Ruberto Appeals Judge	